

本研究報告提供傳統的百分等級，直線轉換 T 分數及差數智商（deviation IQ, DIQ）常模，DIQ 的平均數是 100，標準差是 15，與魏氏兒童智力量表的量尺具有相同的意義，可作為資優與智能不足兒童鑑定的初步篩選工具，DIQ 在 130（即高於平均數二個標準差）以上者，很可能是資優生，而 DIQ 在 70（即低於平均數二個標準差）以下者，很可能是智能不足。根據單一測驗所做的分類必然有相當程度的誤差，如果是比較重要的決定，一定還要參酌其它測驗結果及受試的背景資料。

本研究除了進行一般測驗發展所需的各項研究外，也進行題目的性別及城鄉相對偏失研究，發現部份題目有較明顯的城鄉偏失或性別偏失。未來有必要就這些題目的內容性質再作較深入的分析並以其他樣本進行交互驗證（cross validation）研究。

參考文獻

中文部分

- 吳裕益（民76）：認知能力與認知型態個別差異現象之探討。教育學刊，7期，第51-59頁。
- 吳裕期（民80）：題目分析軟體介紹。題庫專輯，第67-103頁。
- 吳裕益（民80）：測驗的等化。台南師院測驗發展中心編製，題庫專輯，頁105-149。
- 吳裕益、陳英豪、林庭煌、吳明奇和張家慶（民80）。國民小學高年級學術性向測驗題庫擴充研究：題目擴充時參數估計與連結相關問題之探討（EMC-TR-91-9）。台南師範學院，測驗發展中心。
- 馬傳鎮、路君約（民76）：修定加州心理成熟測驗，中國行為科學社。
- 郭生玉（民74）：心理與教育測驗。頁226-227。
- 黃國彥、鐘思嘉、傅粹馨（民73）：羅桑二式試語文智力測驗，正昇教育科學社。
- 黃國彥、鐘思嘉、林珊如、李良哲（民72）：羅森二式非語文智力測驗，正昇教育科學社。
- 葛樹人（民77）：心理測驗學下冊。桂冠圖書股份有限公司。

英文部分

Assessment System MicroCAT使用手冊

Angoff, W. H. (1982). Use of difficulty and discrimination indices for detecting item bias. In R. A. Berk(Ed.), Handbook of Methods of Detecting Test Bias. Baltimore, MD : The Johns Hopkins University.

Binet, A. & Simon, T. (1916). The development of intelligence children. Baltimore, MD : williams & wilkins.

Birnbaum, A. (1968). Some latent trait models and their use in inferring an examinee's ability. In F.M. Lord & M.R.Novick, Statistical theories of mental test scores. Reading, Mass. : Addison Wesley.

Bock, R.d. (1972). Estimating item paramenters and latent ability when response are scored in two or more noimal categories, Psychometrika, 37, 29-51.

Cattell, R. B. (1963) Theory of Fluid and Crystallized Intelligence : A Critical Experiment. Journal of Educational Psychology, 54, 1-22.

Cattell, R. B. (1971) Abilities: Their Structure, Growth, and Action. Boston : Houghton Mifflin.

Charlesworth, W. R. (1976). Human intelligence as adaptation : An ethological approach. In L. B. Resinck (ED.), The nature of intelligence. Hillsdale, NJ : Erlbaum.

Colvin, S. S. (1921). Intelligence and its measurement : A symposium. Journal of Educational Psychology, 12, 136-139.

Cook, L. L., & Eingor, D. R. (1981, April). Score equating and item response theory : Some practical considerations. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Education Research Association and the National

- Conference on Measurement in Education, Los Angeles.
- Cook, L. L., & Eignor, D. R. (1989). Using item response theory in test score equating. International Journal of Educational Research, 13(2), 161-173.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1984). Essentials of Psychological Testing. 4th ed. NY : Harper & Row.
- Elkind, D. (1969). Piagetian and Psychometric conceptions of intelligence. Harvard Educational Review, 39, 319-337.
- Gronlund, N. E. (1985). Measurement and Evaluation in Teaching (3rd ed.). NY : Macmillan Publishing Co., Inc.
- Guilford ; J. P. (1956). The structure of intellect. Psychologica Bulletin, 53, 267-293.
- Guilford, J. P. (1967). The nature of human intelligence. NY : McGRAW-Hill.
- Guilford, J. P. (1977). Way beyond the I. Q. Buffalo, NY : Creative Education and Bearly Limited.
- Hung, P. (1990). The effects of examinees and linking methods on the scaling of item parameters. Proceedings of Internation.
- Hunt, E. (1976). Varieties of cogntive power. in L. B. Resnick (ED.). The nature of intelligence. Hillsdale, NJ : Erlba
- Hambleton, R. K., & Swaminathan, H. (1985). Item response theory : Principles and aplications. Boston, MA : Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Ironson, G. H.(1982). Use of chi-square and latent trait approaches for detecting item bias. In R.Berk(Ed.), Handbook of Methods for Detecting Test Bias. Baltimore, MD : The Johns Hopkins University. Press.
- Ironson, G. H. (1983). Useing item response theory to measure bias. In R. K. Hambleton (Ed.), Applications of item reponse theory. Vancouver, BC: Educational Reasearch

Institute of British Columbia.

- Jensen, A. R. (1969). HOW much can we boost IQ and Scholastic achievement? Harvard Educational Review, 39, 1-123.
- Jensen, A. R. (1973). Educability and group differences. NY : Harper & Row.
- Kolakowski, D., & Bock, R.D. (1973). Maximum likelihood item analysis and test scoring : Logistic model for multiple item responses. Ann Arbor, MI:National Educational Resources.
- Linn, R. L., & Harnisch D. L.(1981). Interactions between item content and group membership on achievement test items. Journal of Educational Measurement, 18, 109-118.
- Linn, R. L., Levine, M. V., Hastings, C. N., & Wardrop, J. L. (1981). An Investigation of item bias in a test of reading comprehension. Applied Psychological Measurement, 5, 159-173.
- Lord, F. M. (1977). A study of item bias, using item characteristic curve theory. In Y. H. Poortinga (Ed.), Basic problems in cross-cultural psychology. Amsterdam: Swets & Zeitlinger.
- Lord, F. M. (1980). Applications of item response theory to practical testing problems. Hillsdale, NJ : Erlbaum.
- Mckinley., R. L., & Reckase, M. D.(1981) A comparison of procedures for constructing large item pools (Research Report 81-3). Columbia MO : University of Missouri, Department of Educational Psychology.
- Mislevy, R, J & Bock, R, D (1986). PC-BILOG : Item Analysis and Test Scoring with Binary Logistic Models. Scientific Software.
- Pintner, A. (1921). Intelligence and its measurement : A symposium. Journal of Educational Psychology, 12, 139-143.

- Raju, N. S., Edwards, J. E., & Osberg, D. W. (1983, April). The effect of anchor test size in vertical equating with the Rasch and three-parameter models. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the National Council on Measurement in Education, Montreal.
- Reckase, M. D. (1979). Unifactor latent trait models applied to multifactor tests REsults and implications. Journal of Educational Statistics, 4, 207-230.
- Robinson, N. M. & Robindon, H. B. (1965). The mentally reyarden child : A psychology approach. NY : McGraw-Hill.
- Shepard, L. A., Camilli, G., & Averill, M. (1981) Comparsion of procedures for detecting test-item bias with both internal and external ability criteria. Journal of Educational Statistics6, 317-375.
- Simon, H. (1976). Identifying basic abilities underlying intelligent performance of complx tasks. In L. B. Resnick (ED). The nature of intelligence. Hillsadle, NJ : Erlba
- Stocking, M. L. & Lord, F. M. (1983). Developing a common metric in item response theory. Applied psychological Measurement, 7(2), 201-211.
- Stoddard, G. D. (1943). Developmeaning of intellingence. NY : MacMillan.
- Terman, L. M. (1921). Intelligence and its measurement : A symposium. Journal of Educational Psychology, 12, 127-133.
- Thorndike, E.L. (1921). Intelligence and its measurement : A symposium. Journal of Educational Psychology, 12, 124-127
- Thurstone, L. L. (1938). Primary mental abilites. Psychometrika Monographs (NO. 1).
- Vale, C. D., Maurelli, V. A., Gialluca, K. A., Weiss,D. J., Ree, M. J.(1981). Methods of linking item parameters

(AFHRL-TR-81-10). Brooks Air Force Base TX : U. S. Air Force Human Resources Laboratory.

Wechsler, D. (1958). The measurement and appraisal of adult intelligence (4th ed.). BALTIMORE, md. : Williams AND Wilkins.

Wingersky, M. S., Cook, L. L., & Eignor, D. R. (1986, April). Specifying the characteristics of linking items used for item response theory item calibration. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco.