

Reference

- Angoff, W. H. (1972, September). *A technique for the investigation of cultural differences*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Psychological Association, Honolulu. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service NO. ED 069686)
- Angoff, W. H. (1993). Perspectives on differential item functioning methodology. In P. W. Holland and H. Wainer (Eds.) *Differential Item Function* (pp3-23). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Angoff, W. H. & Ford, S. F. (1973). Item-race interaction on a test of scholastic aptitude. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 10, 95-105.
- Bock, R. D. & Aitkin, M. (1981). Marginal maximum likelihood estimation of item parameters: An application of an EM algorithm. *Psychometrika*, 46. 443-459.
- Budgell, G. R., Raju, N. S., & Quartetti, D. A. (1995). Analysis of differential item functioning in translated assessment instruments. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 19(4), 309-321.
- Camilli, G., & Shepard, L. A. (1994). *Methods for identifying biased test items*. Thousand Oaks, CA:sage.
- Chien, M. F., Liu, H. C., Sheu, T. W., Kuo, B. C., & Yin, C. W. (1995). Factors that influence the use of Mantel-Haenszel procedure to detect Differential Item Function. *Psychological Testing*, 46, 33-44.
- Chen, M. C. (1996). *A study on item biases of ability tests*. Unpublished dissertation of doctor. National Normal University: Taipei.
- Cleary, T. A. & Hilton, T. L. (1968). An investigation of item bias. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 28, 61-75.
- Clauser, B. E. & Mazor, K. M. (1998). Using statistical procedures to

- identify differentially functioning test items. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, Spring.
- Cohen, R. J., Montague, P., Nathanson, L. S. & Swerdlik, M. E. (1988). An introduction to tests and measurement. Mountain View, CA: Mayfield.
- Croker, L. M., & Algina, J. (1986). *Introduction to classical & modern test theory*. Orlando, FL: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Doolittle, A. E. (1987). *Gender Differences in Performance on Mathematics Achievement Items. (Research Report 87-16)*. Iowa City, IA: American College Testing.
- Doolittle, A. E., & Cleary, T. A. (1987). Gender-based differential item performance in mathematics achievement items. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 24, 157-166.
- Dorans, N. J., & Holland, P. W. (1993). DIF detection and description: Mantel-Haenszel and standardization. In P. W. Holland and H. Wainer (Eds.) *Differential Item Function* (pp35-66). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Echols, P. S. (1992). *A study of the relationships among students' attitudes toward mathematics and the variables of teacher attitude, parental attitudes, achievement, ability, sex of the student and grade level of the student*. Mich: UMI.
- Embretson, S. E., & Reise, S. P. (2000). *Item Response Theory for psychologists*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Freedle, R. & Kostin, I. (1988). *Relationship between item characteristics and an index of differential item functioning for the four GRE verbal item types*.
- Friedman, L. (1994). Meta-analytic contributions to the study of gender differences in mathematics-The relationship of mathematical and

- spatial skills. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 21, 361-371.
- Frost, L. A., Hyde, J. S., & Fennema, E. (1994). Gender, mathematics performance, and mathematics-related attitudes and effect: A meta-analytic synthesis. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 21, 373-384.
- Hambleton, R. K. (1989). Principles and selected applications of item response theory. In R. L. Linn (Ed.). *Educational Measurement* (pp. 147-200). New York: Macmillan Publishing Company.
- Hambleton, R. K., & Swaminthan, H. (1985). *Item response theory: Principles and applications*. Boston, MA: Kluwer-Nijhoff.
- Harris, A. M. & Carlton, S. T. (1993). Patterns of gender differences on mathematics items on the SAT. *Applied Measurement in Education*, 6, 137-151.
- Holland, P. W., & Thayer, D. T. (1988). Differential item functioning and the Mantel-Haenszel procedure. In H. Wainer & H. I. Brown (Eds.), *Test validity* (pp. 129-145). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Huang, T. W. (1999). *From multi-score to investigate the DIF of achievement test in junior high school. Unpublished dissertation of master*. National Changhua Normal University: Changhua.
- Huang, T. W. & Li, H. H. (1999). Gender DIF/DBF analysis: application of Poly-SIBTEST. *Psychological Testing*, 46, 45-60.
- Hyde, J. S., Fennema, E., & Lamon, S. J. (1990). Gender difference in mathematics performance: A meta-analysis. *Psychological Bulletin*, 107, 139-155.
- Ironson, G. H. (1977). *A comparative study of several methods of assessing item bias*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Wisconsin.

- Ironson, G. H. (1983). Using item response theory to measure bias. In R. K. Hambleton (Ed.), *Application of item response theory* (pp. 155-174). Vancouver, BC: Educational Research Institute of British Columbia.
- Ironson, G. H. & Subkoviak, M. (1979). A comparison of several methods of assessing item bias. *Journal of Educational Measurement, 16*, 209-225.
- Jensen, A. R. (1968). Social class, race, and genetics: Implications for education. *American Educational Research Journal, 5*, 1-42.
- Judd, C. M., & McClelland, G. H. (1989). *Data analysis: A model comparison approach*. San Diego, CA: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Kim, S., & Cohen, A. S. (1995). A comparison of Lord's chi-square, Raju area measures and the likelihood ratio test in detection of differential item functioning. *Applied Measurement in Education, 8*(4), 291-12.
- Lane, S., Stone, C. A., Ankenmann, R. D., & Liu, M. (1995). Examination of the assumptions and properties of the graded item response model: An example using a mathematics performance. *Applied Measurement in Education, 8, 4*, 313-340.
- Lane, S., Wang, N., & Magone, M. (1996). Gender-related differential item functioning on a middle school mathematics performance assessment. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice, 15, 4*, 21-27.
- Lee, Mou-Eng (1983). *The relationship between gender, grades, mathematic attitude, role and mathematic achievement. Unpublished dissertation of master*. National Cheng-Chi University: Taipei.
- Liu, C. C., Shu, T. W., & Jeng, F. S. (1998). The comparison of accuracy

- for the software of IRT. *Journal of Educational Measurement and Statistics*, Vol. 6, 1-112.
- Lord, F. M. (1980). *Applications of item response theory to practical problems*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Lu, S. M. (1999). Assessing the sampling variability of the Mantel-Haenszel DIF statistic using Bootstrap method. *Psychological Testing*, 46, 33-44.
- Merz, W. R., & Grossen, N. F. (1979, April). *An empirical investigation of six methods for examine test item bias*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the National Council on Measurement in Education, San Francisco. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service NO. ED 178566)
- Millsap, R. E. & Everson, H. T. (1993). Methodology review: statistical approaches for assessing Measurement Bias. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 17, 297-334.
- Mislevy, R. J. & Bock, R. D. (1990). *Bilog 3: Item analysis and test scoring with binary logistic models [Computer program]*. Mooresvill, IN: Scientific Software.
- Neyman, J., & Pearson, E. S. (1928). On the use and interpretation of certain test criteria for purpose of statistical inference: Part I and Part II. *Biometrika*, 18, 105-117.
- Raju, N. S. (1988). The area between two item characteristics curves. *Psychometrika*, 54, 495-502.
- Raju, N. S. (1990). Determining the significance of estimated signed and unsigned areas between two item response functions. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 14, 197-207.
- Raju, N. S., Drasgow, F., & Slinde, J. A. (1993). An empirical comparison of the area methods, Lord's Chi-square test, and the

- Mantel-Haenszel technique for assessing differential item functioning. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 53, 301-314.
- Randhawa, B. S., Beamer, J. E., & Lundberg, I. (1993). Role of mathematics self-efficiency in the structural model of mathematics achievement. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 85, 41-48.
- Reckase, M. D. (1979). Unifactor latent trait models applied to multi-factor tests: Results and implications. *Journal of Educational Statistics*, 4, 207-230.
- Reckase, M. D. (1985). The difficulty of test items that measure more than one ability. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 9, 401-412.
- Ruder, L. M., & Convey, J. J. (1978, March). An evaluation of select approaches for biased item identification. Paper presented at the annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New York.
- Ruder, L. M., Getson, P. R., & Knight, D. L. (1980). A Monte Carlo comparison of seven biased item detection techniques. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 17, 1-10.
- Samules, W. D. (1991). *Mathematics achievement and attitude in grade six through eight in Lebanon, Oregon*. Mich : UMI.
- Scheuneman, J. D. (1979). A method of assessing bias in test items. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 16, 143-152.
- Shepard, L. A., Camilli, G., Averill, M. (1981). Comparison of procedures for detecting test-item bias with both internal and external ability criteria. *Journal of Educational Statistics*, 6, 317-375.
- Shepard, L.A., Camilli, G., & Williams, D. M. (1984). Accounting for statistical artifacts in item bias research. *Journal of Educational*

- Statistics*, 9, 93-128.
- Shepard, L. A., Camilli, G., & Williams, D. M. (1985). Validity of approximation techniques for detecting item bias. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 22, 77-105.
- Stevenson, H. W., Chen C., & Lee, S. Y. (1993). Mathematics Achievement of Chinese, Japanese, and American Children: Ten Years Later, *Science*, 259, 53-59.
- Subkoviak, M. J., Mack, J. S., Ironson, G. H., & Craig, R. D. (1984). Empirical comparison of selected item bias detection procedures with bias manipulation. *Journal of Educational Measurement*, 21, 49-58.
- Tai, L. H. (1994). *A study on item bias joint college entrance examination in Taiwan – The comparison between ICC Approach and Mantel-Haenszel Method*. Unpublished dissertation of Master. National Normal University: Taipei.
- Tan, L. C. (1992). To analyze the mathematics attitude and solving ability of children. *Journal of Taipei City Teachers College*, 5, 621-677.
- Thissen, D. & Steinberg, L. (1986). A taxonomy of item response models. *Psychometrika*, 51, 567-577.
- Thissen, D., Steinberg, L., & Gerrard, M. (1986). Beyond group mean differences. The concept of item bias. *Psychological Bulletin*, 99, 118-128.
- Thissen, D., Steinberg, L., & Wainer, H. (1988). Use of item response theory in the study of group differences in trace lines. In H. Wainer & H. I. Braun (Eds), *Test Validity* (pp. 147-169). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Thissen, D., Steinberg, L., & Wainer, H. (1993). Detection of differential

- item functioning using the parameters of item response models. In P. W. Holland & H. Wainer (Eds.), *Differential item functioning* (pp. 35-113). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Tsai, S. L. & Walberg. H. J. (1983). Mathematics achievement and attitude productivity in high school. *Journal of Educational Research*, 76(5),265-272.
- Wei, L. M. (1996). Learning motivation, mathematics anxiety and mathematics achievement of primary school. *Bulletin of Research on Elementary Education, Graduate Institute of Elementary Education, Taichung Teachers College*, 4, 133-155.
- Wei, L. M. (1988). *The relationship between mathematics anxiety, attitude, and achievement and the research result of mathematics learning of group consulting*. Unpublished dissertation of master. National Normal University: Taipei.
- Williams, R. L. (1971). Abuses and in testing children. *Counseling Psychologist*, 2, 62-77.
- Wu, Y. Y., Huang, P. H., Hsu, C. S., Chen, Y. C., & Chen, L. Y. (1994). *The design report of scholastic aptitude test of high grade of elementary*. Tainan Teacher College: Tainan.
- Wu, Y. L. (1997). A study comparing the difference on mathematic attitude and achievement with regard to program, gender, and social economic status. *Research on Elementary Education of Peng-Doung Teachers College 1*, 163-200.
- Yu, M. L. (1997). The development trend of test theory. In China Test Institute (Ed), *The development and application of psychology test* (pp. 23-62). Psychology publisher: Taipei.